

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	<b>√</b> O.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/089,848		06/25/2002	Tomas Albrektsson	0104-0389P	5296	
2292	7590	04/26/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		RT KOLASCH & B	BLANCO, JAVIER G			
	PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		,		3738		
				DATE MAILED: 04/26/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	····							
	Application No.	lication No. Applicant(s)		L				
Office Action Commons	10/089,848	ALBREKTSSON E	ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Javier G. Blanco	3738						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varieties or extended period for reply will, by statute the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Fe	ebruary 2006.							
·— · · — ·	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	secution as to the	merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 38-78 is/are pending in the application	1.							
, <u> </u>	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>38,39,52-60,62,63,67-73 and 75-78</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) <u>40-51,61,64-66 and 74</u> is/are objected	· ·							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o								
,— , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	TO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		)-(d) or (f).						
1. Certified copies of the priority document		N -						
2. Certified copies of the priority document			01					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	· ·	ed in this National	Stage					
application from the International Bureau								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	<b>2</b> d.						
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary							
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)         Paper No(s)/Mail Date     </li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		O-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/089,848

Art Unit: 3738

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 13, 2006 has been entered.

#### Response to Amendment

2. Applicants' amendment of claims 38, 68-71, and 76 in the reply filed on October 19, 2005 is acknowledged.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 38, 39, 52-56, 58, 59, 67, 68, 70, 73, and 75-78 are rejected under 35

  U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Baroud et al. (DE 197 25 269 A1; previously cited by the Examiner in the PTO-892 filed October 15, 2004).

As seen in Figure 1, Baroud et al. disclose a femur fixture for a hip-joint prosthesis, comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/089,848 Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

a. An intraosseous anchoring structure of a generally circular cross-section *for screwing* laterally into a complementary bore drilled laterally into the neck of a femur after resection of the femur head to an anchored position, the intraosseous anchoring structure being formed from a single, one-piece member and having a proximal end, a distal end, a frusto-conical proximal section (sleeve 4 has a frusto-conical proximal section) at the proximal section, and a proximal cylindrical section (extension 5) having a screw thread profile (see profile shown in Figure 1) thereon and extending towards the distal end from the frusto-conical proximal section, the screw thread profile extending from said frusto-conical proximal section towards the distal end, said frusto-conical proximal section towards the distal end, said frusto-conical proximal section (e.g., either top portion of sleeve 4 and/or collar 7) having a distal surface abutting and extending outwardly from the frusto-conical proximal section, said collar section extending generally radially outwardly from the intraosseous anchoring structure; and c. A head section (shaft 1) for supporting a ball component.

- 5. Claims 38, 39, 52, 56, 58-60, 63, 67, 73, and 75-78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Surer (FR 2 674 122 A1).
- As seen in Figures 1 and 3-7, Surer disclose a femur fixture for a hip-joint prosthesis, comprising:
- a. An intraosseous anchoring structure of a generally circular cross-section for screwing laterally into a complementary bore drilled laterally into the neck of a femur after resection of the femur head to an anchored position, the intraosseous anchoring structure being formed from a single, one-piece member and having a proximal end, a distal end, a frusto-conical proximal section (Figure 1: frusto-conical portion of character 26; Figure 7: frusto-conical portion of character

Art Unit: 3738

80; Figure 7: frusto-conical portion of character 86; Figure 7: frusto-conical portion of character 84) at the proximal section, and a proximal cylindrical section having a screw thread profile thereon and extending towards the distal end from the frusto-conical proximal section, the screw thread profile extending from said frusto-conical proximal section towards the distal end, said frusto-conical proximal section being shorter than said proximal cylindrical section; and b. A collar section (Figure 1: plate 18; Figure 7: character 86; Figure 7: plate 84) having a distal surface abutting and extending outwardly from the frusto-conical proximal section, said collar section extending generally radially outwardly from the intraosseous anchoring structure.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 76-78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rambert et al. (GB 2 033 755 A) in view of Baroud et al. (DE 197 25 269 A1; previously cited by the Examiner in the PTO-892 filed October 15, 2004).

As seen in Figure 1, Rambert et al. disclose a femur fixture for a hip-joint prosthesis, comprising an intraosseous anchoring structure of a generally circular cross-section for screwing laterally into a complementary bore drilled laterally into the neck of a femur after resection of the femur head to an anchored position (see entire document), the intraosseous anchoring structure

Art Unit: 3738

comprising a head section (cylindrical thread 4 + conical bearing 5), a collar section (plate 2) having a distal surface abutting said intraosseous anchoring structure (see Figure 1), a frustoconical proximal section (threaded rod 7), a proximal cylindrical section (recall screw 15) having a screw thread profile, and a distal end (threaded head of 15) that projects through the lateral cortex of the femur when the intraosseous anchoring structure is in the anchored position (see Figure 1; see entire document). Rambert et al. disclose the invention as claimed except for particularly disclosing the mounting section as tapered. However, this is well known in the art. For example, Baroud et al. disclose a femur fixture comprising a tapered mounting section in order to support a ball component of a hip joint prosthesis (see entire document). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teaching of a femur fixture comprising a tapered mounting section, as taught by Baroud et al., with the femur fixture of Rambert et al., in order to support a ball component of a hip joint prosthesis.

8. Claims 53-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Surer (FR 2 674 122 A1).

Surer discloses the invention as claimed in claims 38, 39, 52, 56, 58-60, 63, 67, 73, and 75-78, except for particularly disclosing the specific ranges/measurements indicated in claims 53-55. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have manufactured the frusto-conical proximal section of Surer's femur fixture to have any of the ranges/measurements indicated in claims 53-55, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Art Unit: 3738

9. Claims 62 and 69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baroud et al. (DE 197 25 269 A1; previously cited by the Examiner in the PTO-892 filed October 15, 2004).

Baroud et al. disclose the invention as claimed in claims 38, 39, 52-56, 58, 59, 67, 68, 70, 73, and 75-78, except for particularly disclosing the specific ranges/measurements indicated in claims 62 and 69. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have manufactured the Baroud et al.'s femur fixture to have any of the ranges/measurements indicated in claims 62 and 69, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

10. Claims 71 and 72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baroud et al. (DE 197 25 269 A1; previously cited by the Examiner in the PTO-892 filed October 15, 2004) in view of Sotereanos (US 6,284,002 B1; previously cited in PTO-892).

Baroud et al. disclose the invention as claimed in claims 38, 39, 52-56, 58, 59, 67, 68, 70, 73, and 75-78, except for particularly disclosing the distal surface of the collar section 20 as

comprising circular beads. However, Sotereanos discloses a femur fixture comprising a collar section 20 having a distal surface 32 inclined inwardly and provided with micro-beads 22/24 in order for the collar section to contact substantially all of the resected surface of the femur and to provide additional surface for bone ingrowth (see entire document). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teaching of providing a femur fixture with a collar section having a distal surface inclined inwardly and provided with micro-beads, as taught by Sotereanos, with the femur

Application/Control Number: 10/089,848 Page 7

Art Unit: 3738

fixture of Baroud et al., in order for the collar section to contact substantially all of the resected surface of the femur and to provide additional surface for bone ingrowth.

11. Claim 57 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baroud et al. (DE 197 25 269 A1; previously cited by the Examiner in the PTO-892 filed October 15, 2004) in view of Hansson et al. (US 5,588,838 A).

Baroud et al. disclose the invention as claimed in claims 38, 39, 52-56, 58, 59, 67, 68, 70, 73, and 75-78, except for particularly disclosing the frusto-conical proximal section as having a roughened surface (e.g., blasted surface). However, this is well known in the art. For example, Hansson et al. disclose a fixture comprising a relatively short frusto-conical proximal section (conically flaring portion 10) having a roughened surface (e.g., circumferentially oriented microthreads, micro-beads, blasted surface) in order to increase the anchoring surface abutting the bone tissue and also to improve osseointegration of the fixture (see entire document). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teaching of providing the frusto-conical proximal section of a fixture with a roughened surface (e.g., circumferentially oriented micro-threads, micro-beads, blasted surface), as taught by Hansson et al., with the femur fixture of Baroud et al., in order to increase the anchoring surface abutting the bone tissue and also to improve osseointegration of the fixture.

## Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 38 and 76 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Allowable Subject Matter

13. Claims 40-51, 61, 64-66, and 74 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Lundholm (US 2,570,465) and Steinleitner (DE 3607824).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Javier G. Blanco whose telephone number is 571-272-4747. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30 a.m.-7:00 p.m.), first Friday of the bi-week off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306 for regular communications and After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

**JGB** 

April 22, 2006

David H. Willse Primary Examiner Page 8